

Mutable Lists & Maps

Concepts Check off understood concepts, connect related concepts, label connections

Make sure you can **explain** each concept and each connection, you can provide **examples**, and you can **identify** them in a given piece of code.

Names Circle the methods, underline the types java.util.List • java.util.LinkedList • java.util.Map • java.util.TreeMap • java.util.Set • java.util.TreeSet

Mutable Collections

Our Sequence interface and its implementations are *immutable*. If you want to "modify" a sequence, you have to create a new one. For example, the following cons instance method takes a value, and returns a **new** sequence with that value as its first element and the original sequence (this) as the rest:

```
public interface Sequence<T> {
 …
  public Sequence<T> cons(T value);
}
```
Thus, "knowing" a sequence means knowing that exact sequence with that exact content. If you modify the content, you get a **new** sequence.

```
public void Sequence<Person> befriend(Sequence<Person> friends, Person f) {
   Sequence<Person> newFriends = friends.cons(f);
   // draw stack and heap state at this point
   assert newFriends != friends;
   return newFriends;
}
```
Draw a memory diagram (stack and heap) if the above method is called like this: befriend(of(**new** Person(1), **new** Person(2)), **new** Person(9))

Draw all Cons, Empty, and Person objects. Don't draw stack frames that already got popped. Assume the following Person type: **public record** Person(int id) {}.

In the above method, friends and newFriends refer to two **different** objects!

With our **immutable** design, any method that wants to "modify" a sequence needs to **return the modified sequence**. In general, in a pure immutable world, any method that wants to "mutate" something has to create and return a new object.

There is a way around this! But it comes at a cost. Now that we have **mutable** instance variables, we can create methods that don't **need** to return results of "mutation". For example, we can create a class List that has a mutable instance variable of type Sequence. The List class then has mutator methods (like adding and removing an element) that **mutate the instance variable**. In our List example, these mutator methods create a new sequence representing the modified list, and **store** that new sequence in their mutable instance variable.

Complete the following code (use cons):

```
public class List<T> {
   private Sequence<T> contents;
   public List() {
     contents = empty();
   }
   public void prepend(T element) {
   }
}
```
Can you see the mutation? And can you see how the prepend method does not need to return the modified list? Because we don't **create a new** list; **we mutate the existing** list!

This design allows us to keep a "stable" reference to a list object. There is one object. It represents a list that can change over time. When we add or remove elements, it is still the same list. We don't need to pass around a reference to a new list, because the old and new list are represented by the same List object.

```
List<Person> friends = new List<Person>();
// now friends is empty
friends.prepend(new Person(11));
// now friends contains person 11
friends.prepend(new Person(22));
// now friends contains person 11 and person 22
```
The drawback of this design is the general problem of non-local mutation: a given List object may represent a list with certain contents **at one point in time**, but a list with different content **at another point in time**. Reasoning about our program (for example to find and fix a bug) now requires keeping track of state changes over time ("Do you mean my friends *before* **or** *after* I befriended Jim?"). That can be quite challenging. A list at a time is not necessary **equal to the same list later.**

Draw the memory diagram (stack and heap) at the end of the above code:

Java Collection Classes: java.util.List

The Java Collection classes (in package java.util) implement all kinds of data structures, such as lists, sets, and maps.

The interface java.util.List has various subtypes that provide different kinds of implementations (with different costs and benefits).

Here is a part of the List interface and one class that implements it: LinkedList. **Draw** a class diagram:

There is one fundamental difference between our Sequence class and Java's List. It has nothing to do with inheritance. What could that be?

Draw the memory diagrams (stack and heap) at the end of the following scenarios. Assume **public class** LinkedList<E> … { **private** Node<E> first; … }

An object of type List is mutable. That is, we can append elements to a list, and the same List object that used to be empty is now not empty anymore!

Another Mutable Collection: Map

Sometimes we need to maintain a mapping from a key to a value. For example, we may need to map names to phone numbers, or countries to population counts. We can represent a mapping with a data structure or with a function. In both cases, if we get a key, we need to be able to map it to the corresponding value.

Mapping from a country to its population count **with a function**:

```
public static int populationByCountry(String country) {
   return
     country.equals("Switzerland") ? 8796669 :
     country.equals("Italy") ? 58870762 :
     country.equals("Germany") ? 83294633 : -1;
}
int swissPopulation = populationByCountry("Switzerland");
```
Mapping from a country to its population count **with a data structure**:

```
Map<String, Integer> populationByCountry = ...;
populationByCountry.put("Switzerland", 8796669);
populationByCountry.put("Italy", 58870762);
populationByCountry.put("Germany", 83294633);
int swissPopulation = populationByCountry.get("Switzerland");
```
When using a function, we simply call the function. When using a map data structure, we first create the data structure (allocating a Map object, and then putting all the **key-value pairs** in it), and then **look up** a value by its key.

Mark whether each of the following claims holds or not:

Implementing a Map Class

Implement the get method of the following Map class (use a while-loop):

```
public class Map {
```

```
 private Sequence<Pair<K,V>> keyValuePairs;
```

```
 public Option<V> get(K key) {
```
 } }

Java Collection Classes: java.util.Map

The interface java.util.Map has various subtypes that provide different kinds of implementations (with different costs and benefits).

Here is a simplified version of Map and one class that implements it: TreeMap. **Draw** a class diagram:

```
public interface Map<K,V> {
   public abstract V get(Object key);
  public abstract V put(K key, V value);
  public abstract V remove(Object key);
  //…
}
public class TreeMap<K,V> implements Map<K,V> {
   public V get(Object key) { … }
   //…
}
```
Using Maps

You want to build a multi-player game. You have a Player class to represent a player (including their score, and information about their current status).

At the beginning one can enter the names of all players, and the application will create a Player object for each player. Later, during the game, the user can enter the name of a player in the user interface (as a String), and the application then needs to find the corresponding Player object.

```
Complete the implementation of the method bodies:
```

```
public class Game {
   private Map<String,Player> playersByName;
   public Game() {
   }
   public void addPlayer(String name) {
     Player player = new Player();
   }
   public static Player getPlayerByName(String name) {
   }
}
```
Java Collection Classes: java.util.Set

Besides lists and maps, the Java library also provides sets. The interface Set and implementations such as TreeSet.

Sets are like lists, but they disallow duplicate elements, and they don't provide indexed access; instead they provide a method boolean contains(E value).

